arXiv:0906.2790v1 [math.GT] 15 Jun 2009

PERIODIC ORBITS OF A DYNAMICAL SYSTEM RELATED TO A KNOT

LILYA LYUBICH

Abstract. Following [SW2] we consider a knot group G, its commutator subgroup $K = [G,G]$, a finite group Σ and the space $\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$ of all representations $\rho : K \to \Sigma$, endowed with the weak topology. We choose a meridian $x \in G$ of the knot and consider the homeomorphism σ_x of $\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$ onto itself: $\sigma_x\rho(a) = \rho(xax^{-1})\ \forall a \in K,\ \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$. As proven in [SW1], the dynamical system $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma), \sigma_x)$ is a shift of finite type. In the case when Σ is abelian, $\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$ is finite.

In this paper we calculate the periods of orbits of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$ where p is prime in terms of the roots of the Alexander polynomial of the knot. In the case of two-bridge knots we give a complete description of the set of periods.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. $\mathbb{Z}$- dynamic presentation of the commutator subgroup of a knot group 2
3. Alexander matrix for a presentation of the knot group 3
4. Spectral structure of the shift 4
5. Jordan matrix for σ_x 5
6. Invariant polynomials of the Alexander matrix 8
7. The least common multiple of periods of orbits of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$ 8
8. The periods of adjoint vectors 9
9. Two-bridge knots 10
10. Examples 12
References 13

1. Introduction

Let G be a knot group, $\kappa : G \to \mathbb{Z}$ be an epimorphism, and let $x \in G$ be a distinguished element such that $\kappa(x) = 1$. A triple (G,κ,x) is a particular case of an augmented group system, introduced by D. Silver in [S].

Denote by K the commutator subgroup of G, $K = [G,G]$. Then $K = \ker\kappa$. The group K may or may not be finitely generated, but it has a finite $\mathbb{Z}$-dynamic presentation (see for example [SW1]).

Let Σ be a finite group. Let us consider a dynamical system consisting of the set $\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$ of all representations $\rho : K \to \Sigma$ endowed with the weak topology, together with the homeomorphism σ_x (the shift map):

$$\sigma_x\ : \mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma) \to \mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma);\quad \sigma_x\rho(a) = \rho(xax^{-1})\quad \forall a \in K,\ \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma).$$

Date: June 15, 2009.

D. Silver and S. Williams have proved in [SW1] that the dynamical system $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma),\sigma_x)$ is a shift of finite type, and it can be completely described by a finite directed graph, so that to each representation $\rho\in\mathrm{Hom}(K,\Sigma)$ corresponds a bi-infinite path in the graph. We consider a special case when $\Sigma=\mathbb{Z}/p,\ p$ is a prime. In this case the dynamical system is particularly simple: it is finite [SW2]. So the graph consists of several cycles. Our goal is to calculate the periods of the cycles.

In section 2 we briefly describe the $\mathbb{Z}$-dynamic presentation of the commutator subgroup K. In section 3 we remind the reader the definition of the Alexander matrix of a knot obtained from a finite presentation of the knot group. In section 4 we describe $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p),\sigma_x)$ as a shift in the space of sequences satisfying a recurrent equation that is closely related to the Alexander matrix of the knot. In section 5 we prove that the shift σ_x has roughly the same spectral structure as an Alexander matrix of the knot : if we exclude the eigenvectors with eigenvalue 0, there is one-to-one correspondence between sequences of adjoint vectors for eigenvectors of σ_x and those of the pencil $B-tA$, where $B-tA$ is the Alexander matrix for the Wirtinger presentation of the knot group. In section 6 we review how to reconstruct the normal form of the Alexander matrix from its invariant polynomials. In section 7 we calculate the least common multiple of periods of orbits of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p),\sigma_x)$. In section 8 we find the set of periods of orbits of the extended dynamical system $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,F),\sigma_x)$, where F is the splitting field of Alexander polynomial of the knot over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. In section 9 we find the set of periods of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p),\sigma_x)$ in case of two-bridge knots. Section 10 contains several examples.

I am very grateful to Kunio Murasugi for suggesting this problem to me and for reading the manuscript, to Yuri I. Lyubich, Dan Silver and Susan Williams for useful discussions and references, and to Misha Lyubich for his help.

2. $\mathbb{Z}$- DYNAMIC PRESENTATION OF THE COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP OF A KNOT GROUP

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a knot, G the knot group with distinguished meridian x and a presentation

$$(x,x_1,\ldots,x_{\mathbf{n}}|\tilde{r}_1,\ldots,\tilde{r}_{\mathbf{n}}). \tag{2.1}$$

There is a unique epimorphism $\kappa: G\to Z$, such that $\kappa(x)=1$. Then

$$ker\,\kappa\,=\,[G,G]\,=\,K$$

is the commutator subgroup of G. Clearly $\tilde{r}_i\in K$ (since $\kappa(\tilde{r}_i)\,=\,0,\ i=1,\ldots,\mathbf{n}$). Take $a_i=x_ix^{-\kappa(x_i)}$. Then $\kappa(a_i)=0$, so $a_i\in K,\ i=1,\ldots,\mathbf{n}$, and we can rewrite presentation (2.1) in the alphabet $(x,a_1,\ldots,a_{\mathbf{n}})$:

$$G\cong(x,a_1,\ldots,a_{\mathbf{n}}|r_1,\ldots,r_{\mathbf{n}}),\ \text{where } r_i\in K,\ i=1,\ldots,\mathbf{n}. \tag{2.2}$$

The total power of x in each r_i is 0, and r_i can be written as

$$x^{j_1}a_{i_1}x^{-j_1}x^{j_2}a_{i_2}x^{-j_2}\cdot\ldots\cdot x^{j_s}a_{i_s}x^{-j_s}.$$

Let us consider an automorphism $\sigma_x: K\to K,\ \sigma_x(a)=xax^{-1}\ \ \forall a\in K$. K has a $\mathbb{Z}$-dynamic presentation: $K\cong(a_{1j},\ldots,a_{\mathbf{n}j}|r_{1j},\ldots,r_{\mathbf{n}j})$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, where

$$a_{i0}=a_i,\ a_{ij}\,=\,\sigma_x^ja_{i0}\,=\,x^ja_{i0}x^{-j},\ r_{i0}=r_i,\ r_{ij}\,=\,\sigma_x^jr_{i0}$$

(Note that r_{ij} is obtained from r_{i0} by adding j to the second subindex of each $a_{\alpha\beta}$ occurring in r_i).

Shifting if needed the second subindex: $j := j + t$ in relators and generators, we can assume without loss of generality that for each $i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{n}$, minimal j such that a_{ij} occurs in $r_{i0}, \dots, r_{\mathbf{n}0}$ is zero. Denote maximal j such that a_{ij} occurs in $r_{10}, \dots, r_{\mathbf{n}0}$ by M.

Later we will use the Wirtinger presentation of the knot group G, which is the presentation of the form $(x, x_1 \dots x_{\mathbf{n}} | r_1, \dots r_{\mathbf{n}})$ where $x, x_1, \dots x_{\mathbf{n}}$ are all meridians, and to each crossing corresponds a relator of the form $uvu^{-1}w^{-1}$ with u, v, w being generators.[1]

3. Alexander matrix for a presentation of the knot group

Let $\gamma : G \to \{t^j\}_{j \in Z}$ be an epimorphism of the knot group onto a multiplicative infinite cyclic group : $\gamma(x) = t$, $\gamma(a) = 1 \ \forall a \in K$. Remind (see [CF], [M]) that Alexander matrix for presentation (2.2) is polynomial matrix $\mathbf{n}$ by $\mathbf{n}$, [2] $\mathcal{A}(t)$, with entries

$$\mathcal{A}_{ik}(t) = \gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_k}),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}$ are the free derivatives in the group ring of G that we denote $\mathbb{Z}(G)$. We calculate the free derivatives using the rules:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}(\nu_1 + \nu_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}\nu_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}\nu_2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}(\nu_1 \nu_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}\nu_1 + \nu_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial a_k}\nu_2 \quad \forall \nu_1, \nu_2 \in Z(G)$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial a_k} = \delta_{ik}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} a^n = 1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{n-1},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} a^{-n} = -a^{-1} - a^{-2} - \dots - a^{-n}, \text{ for } n > 0.$$

So when we differentiate the word

$$r_i = w_1 x^{j_1} a_k^{c_1} x^{-j_1} w_2 x^{j_2} a_k^{c_2} x^{-j_2} \cdots x^{j_s} a_k^{c_s} x^{-j_s} w_{s+1} = w_1 a_{kj_1}^{c_1} w_2 a_{kj_2}^{c_2} \cdots w_{s+1}$$

where w_i are the words consisting of blocks $x^\beta a_\alpha x^{-\beta}$ with $\alpha \neq k$, and then apply γ , we get

$$\gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_k}) = c_1 t^{j_1} + c_2 t^{j_2} + \dots + c_s t^{j_s} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} A_{ik}^j t^j$$

(since $0 \leq j_1, \dots j_s \leq M$), where A_{ik}^j is equal to the total power of a_{kj} in r_{i0}. The Alexander matrix for presentation (2.2) is then

$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \left(\gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_k}) \right)_{\substack{i = 1, \dots, \mathbf{n}, \\ k = 1, \dots, \mathbf{n}}} = A^0 + A^1 t + \dots + A^M t^M$$

---

[1] Here $\mathbf{n} + 1$ is the number of crossings in a knot diagram. One relator is skipped since it is a consequence of the others.

[2] We delete the first column $\gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x})$, obtaining an equivalent matrix, i.e., a matrix with the same invariant polynomials (see Section 8 of this paper).

Remark 3.1. It is easy to check that Alexander matrices obtained from presentations (2.1) and (2.2) coincide:

$$\gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_k}) = \gamma(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial a_k}).$$

From now on we will consider the Alexander matrix over the group ring $(\mathbb{Z}/p)(G)$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}(G)$, so that the entries of A^j, $j = 1, \dots, M$, belong to $\mathbb{Z}/p$.

4. Spectral structure of the shift

From [SW1] we know that the dynamical system

$$(\mathrm{Hom}(K, Z/p), \sigma_x), \quad \sigma_x(\rho)(a) = \rho(xax^{-1}) \quad \forall a \in K, \ \rho \in \mathrm{Hom}(K, Z/p)$$

is a shift of finite type. In the following proposition we show that it is isomorphic to a subshift of a full shift satisfying a linear recurrent equation.

Theorem 4.1. *The dynamical system* $(\mathrm{Hom}(K, Z/p), \sigma_x)$ *is isomorphic to the space of bi-infinite sequences* $(\dots Y_{-1} Y_0 Y_1 \dots)$, $Y_j \in (Z/p)^{\mathbf{n}}$, *with the left shift in the space of sequences, that we denote by* σ *, satisfying*

$$A^0 Y_j + A^1 Y_{1+j} + \dots + A^M Y_{M+j} = 0, \quad j \in Z, \tag{4.1}$$

where $A^0, A^1 \dots A^M$ *are the matrices* $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ *and* $A^0 + A^1 t + \dots A^M t^M$ *is an Alexander matrix for* G [3].

Proof. Let $\tilde{K} = K/[K, K]$. The abelian group $\tilde{K}$ has a presentation

$$(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{\mathbf{n}j} | R_{1j}, \dots, R_{\mathbf{n}j}). \tag{4.2}$$

(We keep the same notations a_{ij} for generators, and rewrite relations in additive form).The space $\mathrm{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\tilde{K}, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ and is isomorphic to the space of bi-infinite sequences of vectors $(\dots Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, \dots)$, $Y_j \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\mathbf{n}}$, via the isomorphism

$$Y_j = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(a_{1j}) \\ \vdots \\ \rho(a_{\mathbf{n}j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

satisfying the system of recurrent equations, imposed by relations of $\tilde{K}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \rho(R_{1j}) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \rho(R_{\mathbf{n}j}) = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \text{which is equivalent to;} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (\sigma_x^j \rho)(R_{10}) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ (\sigma_x^j \rho)(R_{\mathbf{n}0}) = 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{4.3}$$

The system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \rho(R_{10}) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \rho(R_{\mathbf{n}0}) = 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{4.4}$$

can be written in matrix form:

$$A^0 Y_0 + A^1 Y_1 + \dots + A^M Y_M = 0,$$

while the system (4.3) is equivalent to

$$A^0 Y_j + A^1 Y_{1+j} + \dots + A^M Y_{M+j} = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

---

[3] By definition, the Alexander matrix for any presentation of G is an Alexander matrix for the group G.

where A^j_{ik} is the coefficient at a_{kj} in R_{i0}, equal to the total degree of a_{kj} in r_{i0}. So the matrices A^0,A^1,$\ldots A^M$ are the same as we had in previous section, i.e, $A^0 + A^1t + \cdots + A^Mt^M$ is the Alexander matrix for the presentations (2.1) and (2.2). □

If we start with the Wirtinger presentation for G, we will get the Alexander matrix $\mathcal{A}(t)$ with entries $1-t$, t, -1. We'll write it in the form $\mathcal{A}(t) = B - tA$, where A and B are the matrices $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}$ consisting of $0, \pm 1$. Equation (4.1) then takes form:

$$BY_j - AY_{j+1} = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.5}$$

It follows from [SW2] that the space $\mathcal{V}$ of bi-infinite sequences satisfying (4.5) is finite; and the projection $\pi_0 : (\ldots Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1 \ldots) \mapsto Y_0$ is injective. It means that σ and so σ_x is conjugate to an operator $T : V \to V$; $\quad T(Y_0) = Y_1 \quad Y_0 \in V \subset (Z/p)^{\mathbf{n}}$ where $V \subset (Z/p)^{\mathbf{n}}$ is the subspace (of dimension, say, m) of all possible Y_0. Also $0 \notin \operatorname{spec}(T)$. In other words, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\ldots Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, \ldots) \arrow[r, mapsto, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\pi_0"] & (\ldots Y_0, Y_1, Y_2, \ldots) \arrow[d, "\pi_0"] \\
Y_0 \arrow[r, mapsto, "T"] & Y_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

We summarize the results of this section in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *The three dynamical systems are isomorphic:*

$$(\operatorname{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x) \;\cong\; (\mathcal{V}, \sigma) \;\cong\; (V, T),$$

where $\mathcal{V}$ is the space of bi-infinite sequences $\ldots Y_{-1}, Y_0, Y_1, \ldots$satisfying equation

$$BY_j - AY_{j+1} = 0, \; j \in \mathbb{Z}; \tag{4.6}$$

$Y_j \in V \subset (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{\mathbf{n}}$, $\; V = \pi_0(\mathcal{V})$ *and T is isomorphism of V mapping Y_j to Y_{j+1}.*

Now we will study the spectral structure of σ_x (σ, T) using a convenient presentation.

5. JORDAN MATRIX FOR σ_x

Throughout the rest of the paper E will denote the identity operator in the space under consideration, and, in case of a finite dimensional space, also the unit matrix of corresponding size.

5.1. **Eigenvectors and adjoint vectors of the full shift.** Denote the splitting field for Alexander polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}/p$ by F. Let V be a vector space over F, and let σ be the left shift in the space of bi-infinite sequences V^Z. Recall the definitions:
$Y^0 \in V^Z$ is *an eigenvector* for σ with eigenvalue $t \in F$ if $(\sigma - tE)Y^0 = 0$
$Y^0, Y^1, \ldots, Y^i$ is *a sequence of adjoint vectors* for eigenvector Y^0, if
$(\sigma - tE)Y^k = Y^{k-1}$, $\; k = 1, \ldots, i$
Let $C^0_n = 1$, $\quad C^k_n = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!}$ for all integer n and positive integer k.

Proposition 5.1. *a) Y^0 is an eigenvector for σ with an eigenvalue t if and only if $Y^0 = \{t^n v_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for some $v_0 \in V$.*
b) $Y^0, Y^1, \ldots, Y^i$ is a sequence of adjoint vectors for eigenvector Y^0, iff there are vectors $v_0, v_1, \ldots v_i \in V$ such that

$$Y^k = \{C^0_n t^n v_k + C^1_n t^{n-1} v_{k-1} + \ldots + C^k_n t^{n-k} v_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. a) Let $v_0 = Y^0_0$.

$$((\tilde{T} - tE)\,Y^0)_n \;=\; Y^0_{n+1} - t\,Y^0_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in Z \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Y^0_n = t^n Y^0_0, \quad \forall n \in Z$$

by induction.
b)Let $v_k = Y^k_0$.

The proof goes by induction by k, and for each k the step of induction is from n to $n+1$ and from $-n$ to $-(n+1)$.
1) $((\sigma - tE)Y^k)_n = Y^{k-1}_n \iff Y^k_{n+1} - t\,Y^k_n = Y^{k-1}_n$
$\iff Y^k_{n+1} = tY^k_n + Y^{k-1}_n = (C^0_n\, t^{n+1} v_k + C^1_n\, t^n v_{k-1} + \ldots + C^k_n\, t^{n-k+1} v_0)+$
$+(C^0_n\, t^n v_{k-1} + C^1_n\, t^{n-1} v_{k-2} + \ldots + C^{k-1}_n\, t^{n-k} v_0)$
and b) follows from $C^i_n + C^{i-1}_n = C^i_{n+1}$.
2) $((T - tE)Y^k)_{-(n+1)} = Y^{k-1}_{-(n+1)} \iff Y^k_{-n} - t\,Y^k_{-n-1} = Y^{k-1}_{-n-1}$
$\iff Y^k_{-(n+1)} = t^{-1}Y^k_{-n} - t^{-1}Y^{k-1}_{-(n+1)} = (C^0_{-n}\, t^{-n-1} v_k + C^1_{-n}\, t^{-n-2} v_{k-1} + \ldots +$
$C^k_n\, t^{-n-k-1} v_0) - -(C^0_{-n-1}\, t^{-n-3} v_{k-1} + C^1_{-n-1}\, t^{-n-3} v_{k-2} + \ldots + C^{k-1}_{-n-1}\, t^{-n-k-1} v_0)$
and b) follows from $C^i_{-n} + C^{i-1}_{-n-1} = C^i_{-n-1}$

□

5.2. **Eigenvectors and adjoint vectors for a pencil of matrices.**

Definition 5.2. Given a pencil of matrices $B - tA, \quad t \in F$, we say that v_0 is *an eigenvector* of this pencil with eigenvalue t_0 if $(B - t_0 A)\, v_0 = 0$, and we say that $v_0, v_1, \ldots v_i$ is *a sequence of adjoint vectors* for eigenvector v_0 if $(B - t_0 A)\, v_k = A\, v_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, \ldots i$.

Proposition 5.3. *The sequence* $Y^0, Y^1, \ldots Y^i$ *of adjoint vectors to eigenvector* Y_0 *with eigenvalue* $t \neq 0$ *belongs to our subshift, i.e., satisfies*

$$B\,Y^k_n - A\,Y^k_{n+1} \;=\; 0 \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \; k \;=\; 0, \ldots i$$

iff the sequence $\{v_0, \ldots, v_i\} \;=\; \{\pi_0\, Y^0, \ldots \pi_0\, Y^i\} \;=\; \{Y^0_0,\, Y^1_0\, \ldots Y^i_0\}$ *is a sequence of adjoint vectors to eigenvector* v_0 *with eigenvalue* t *with respect to the pencil* $B - tA$.
In this case $\{v_0, \ldots v_i\}$ *is also a sequence of adjoint vectors to the eigenvector* v_0 *with eigenvalue* t *for the operator* T*:*
$(T \,-\, t\,E)\, v_0 \;=\; 0$
$(T \,-\, t\,E)\, v_k \;=\; v_{k-1}$

Proof. is by induction by the length of sequences, i. For $i = 0 \quad Y^0_n \;=\; t^n v_0,$ and

$$B\,Y^0_n \;-\; A\,Y^0_{n+1} \;=\; 0 \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (B \;-\; t_0 A)\, v_0 \;=\; 0$$

Suppose $(B - t_0 A)\, v_j \;=\; A\, v_{j-1}$ for $j \;=\; 1, \ldots k{-}1$ and $Y^k_n \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^k C^j_n\, t^{n-j}\, v_{k-j}$
Then
$B\,Y^k_n \;-\; A\,Y^k_{n+1} \;=$
$= \; B \sum_{j=0}^k C^j_n\, t^{n-j}\, v_{k-j} \;-\; A \sum_{j=0}^k C^j_{n+1}\, t^{n+1-j}\, v_{k-j} \;=$
$= \; B\, t^n\, v_k + B \sum_{j=1}^k C^j_n\, t^{n-j}\, v_{k-j} - A\, t^{n+1}\, v_k - A \sum_{j=1}^k (C^j_n + C^{j-1}_n)\, t^{n+1-j}\, v_{k-j} \;=$
$= \; (B - tA)\, t^n\, v_k \;+\; (B - tA) \sum_{j=1}^k C^j_n\, t^{n-j}\, v_{k-j} \;-\; A \sum_{j=1}^k C^{j-1}_n\, t^{n+1-j}\, v_{k-j} +$
$= (B - tA)\, t^n\, v_k \;+\; A \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} C^j_n\, t^{n-j}\, v_{k-j-1} \;-\; A \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} C^s_n\, t^{n-s}\, v_{k-s-1} \;=$
$(s = j - 1)$
$= \; (B - tA)\, t^n\, v_k \;-\; A\, t^n\, v_{k-1}$

So $B\,Y_n^k - A\,Y_{n+1}^k = 0$ iff $(B - tA)\,v_k = v_{k-1}$
The proof is complete. $\square$

5.3. **Normal form for the Alexander matrix.** Since $\det(B - tA)$ is an Alexander polynomial, it is not identically equal to 0. So the pencil of matrices $B - tA$ is strictly equivalent to its normal quasi-diagonal form (see [G],Chapter XII): there are invertible matrices P and Q over F such that

$$B - tA = P(\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A})\,Q,$$

where $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}$ is a quasi-diagonal matrix

$$\{N^{(u_1)}, N^{(u_2)}, \dots, N^{(u_s)}, J_0 - tE, J - tE\} \tag{5.2}$$

with $N^{(u)} = E^{(u)} - tH^{(u)}$,
$E^{(u)}$ is the unit matrix of dimension u,
$H^{(u)}$ is matrix of dimension u with 1's on the first over-diagonal and all other elements equal to 0, J_0 is a matrix in Jordan form with 0 spectrum, and J is a matrix in Jordan form with non-zero spectrum.

Since $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}$ is quasi-diagonal, $F^{\mathbf{n}} = U_1 \bigoplus U_0 \bigoplus U$, where U_1, U_0, U are invariant under $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}$,
$\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}\Big|_{U_1}$ has matrix $\{N^{(u_1)}, N^{(u_2)}, \dots, N^{(u_s)}\}$
and $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}\Big|_{U_0} = J_0 - tE$, $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}\Big|_{U} = J - tE$. In particular $\tilde{A}\Big|_{U} = E$.

Proposition 5.4. *Let* $Qv_0 = u_0\,,\ \dots,\ Qv_i = u_i$
Then a) u_0 *is an eigenvector for* $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}\Big|_{U}$ *with eigenvalue* $t_0 \neq 0 : (\tilde{B} - t_0\tilde{A})u_0 = 0$ *iff* v_0 *is an eigenvector for* $B - tA$ *with eigenvalue* t_0, $(B - t_0A)v_0 = 0$
b) The sequence $v_0, v_1, \dots v_i$ *is the sequence of adjoint vectors to* v_0 *in the sense defined above iff* $u_0, u_1, \dots u_i \in U$ *is the sequence of adjoint vectors to* u_0 *in the regular sense.*

Proof. a) is true since $(B - t_0A)v_0 = P(\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A})Qv_0 = P(\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A})u_0$ and $\det P \neq 0$.
b) $(B - t_0A)v_k = Av_{k-1} \Longleftrightarrow$

$$\Longleftrightarrow P(\tilde{B} - t_0\tilde{A})Qv_k = P\tilde{A}Qv_{k-1} \Longleftrightarrow (\tilde{B} - t_0\tilde{A})u_k = \tilde{A}u_{k-1}$$

By induction, we assume that $u_{k-1} \in U$, then $\tilde{A}u_{k-1} = u_{k-1}$ and $u_k \in U$, since U is invariant under $\tilde{B} - t\tilde{A}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.5. *Matrix* J *in the canonical form of the Alexander matrix defined above is the Jordan matrix for operator* T*, and so for* σ_x*.*

Proof. We have one-to-one correspondence between sequences of adjoint vectors $u_0, u_1, \dots u_k$ for J and $v_0, v_1, \dots v_k$ for T. To each maximal sequence corresponds a Jordan block in that basis. $\square$

In the following section we remind to the reader how to reconstruct the Jordan matrix J if we know the invariant polynomials for Alexander matrix.

6. Invariant polynomials of the Alexander matrix

Recall that for a polynomial matrix $m \times n$, $D_k(t),\ k = 1, \dots n$, denotes the maximal common divisor of all minors of order k . So for $\mathcal{A}(t),\ D_n(t) = \det \mathcal{A}(t)$ is the Alexander polynomial of the knot. These polynomials are the same for original matrix $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and its normal form (5.2). Up to multiplication by $t^s, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, they are the same as polynomials for matrix $J - tE$. Note that D_{k+1} is divisible by D_k . The invariant polynomials for a polynomial matrix are defined as

$$\begin{gathered} i_1(t) = \tfrac{D_n(t)}{D_{n-1}(t)} \\ \vdots \\ i_k(t) = \tfrac{D_{n-k+1}(t)}{D_{n-k}(t)} \end{gathered}$$

It is easy to see that invariant polynomials for matrix $J - tE$, where J is a Jordan matrix, are:

$$i_1(t) = \frac{D_n(t)}{D_{n-1}(t)} = (\lambda_1 - t)^{a_1}\ (\lambda_2 - t)^{b_1} \dots (\lambda_k - t)^{s_1} \tag{6.1}$$

where $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2, \dots \lambda_k$ are all distinct eigenvalues of J,
a_1 is the size of maximal Jordan cell with diagonal element λ_1,
b_1 is the size of maximal Jordan cell with diagonal element λ_2,
$\vdots$
s_1 is the size of maximal Jordan cell with diagonal element λ_k, (since for a Jordan cell $((\lambda - t)E + I_1), D_k = (\lambda - t)^k$, and $D_{k-1} = 1$). Similarly

$$\frac{D_n(t)}{D_{n-2}(t)} = (\lambda_1 - t)^{a_1 + a_2}\ (\lambda_2 - t)^{b_1 + b_2} \dots (\lambda_k - t)^{s_1 + s_2} \tag{6.2}$$

where $a_2,\ b_2, \dots s_2$ are the second maximal sizes of corresponding Jordan cells. So

$$i_2(t) = \frac{D_{n-1}(t)}{D_{n-2}(t)} = (\lambda_1 - t)^{a_2}\ (\lambda_2 - t)^{b_2} \dots (\lambda_k - t)^{s_2} \tag{6.3}$$

So, to reconstruct matrix J from invariant polynomials of $\mathcal{A}(t)$, we add a Jordan cell of size k with diagonal element λ for each root $\lambda \neq 0$ of multiplicity k for each invariant polynomial.

7. The least common multiple of periods of orbits of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$

The following theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem (5.5).

Theorem 7.1. *The least common multiple of periods of orbits of dynamical system* $(\mathrm{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$ *is equal to the order of* J *as an element of finite group* $GL(m, F)$, *i.e. to the minimal integer* $N > 0$ *such that* $J^N = E$; *where* J *is a Jordan matrix with non-zero spectrum from the normal form of Alexander matrix.*

Lemma 7.2. *Let* n *be any integer number and* k *be an integer such that* $k > 1$. $C_n^1, \dots C_n^{k-1}$ *are divisible by* p *iff* n *is divisible by* p^r , *where* $p^{r-1} < k \leq p^r$.

Proof. If $k = 2$, then $r = 1$ and C_n^1 is divisible by $p \iff n$ is divisible by p. We state that n is divisible by p^{s-1} and $C_n^{p^{s-1}}$ is divisible by p iff n is divisible by p^s. Indeed, $C_n^{p^{s-1}} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-p^{s-1}+1)}{1\cdots p^{s-1}}$, and among p^{s-1} factors in the numerator there must be one divisible by p^s (otherwise the sum of exponents of p in the numerator and denominator is the same), and it is n , since n is the only factor divisible by p^{s-1}. So $C_n^1, C_n^2, \dots C_n^{k-1}$ are divisible by p and $k > p^{r-1}$ (which is equivalent to $k-1 \geq p^{r-1}$) implies n is divisible by p^r. And opposite, n is divisible by $p^r$and $k \leq p^r$ (which is equivalent to $k-1 < p^r$) implies $C_n^1, C_n^2, \dots C_n^{k-1}$ are divisible by p . □

Theorem 7.3. *Let J be a matrix in Jordan form:*

$$J = \{\lambda_1 E^{(k_1)} + H^{(k_1)}, \ \dots \ \lambda_l E^{(k_l)} + H^{(k_l)}\}$$

where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ are not necessary distinct; λ_i belong to a field F of characteristic p. For each Jordan cell with eigenvalue λ_i take $n_i = lcm(d_i, p^{r_i})$ where d_i is the order of λ_i and $p^{r_i-1} < k_i \leq p^{r_i}$. Then the order of J is $lcm(n_i | 1 \leq i \leq l)$.

Proof. Let J be a Jordan cell of dimension k with eigenvalue λ, and let d be the order of λ. If $k = 1$ so that $p^{-1} < k \leq 1$, then $J^n = E$ iff n is divisible by d. Suppose $k > 1$ and $p^{r-1} < k \leq p^r$, For $i \leq k-1$ denote by I_i the matrix with $\bar{1}$ on the $i-$th over-diagonal and $\bar{0}$ everywhere else. And let $I_k = I_{k+1} = \ldots = 0$. Then $I_1^n = I_n$ and

$$J^n = (\lambda E + I_1)^n = \lambda^n E + \lambda^{n-1} C_n^1 I_1 + \lambda^{n-2} C_n^2 I_2 + \ldots + C_n^n I_n.$$

If $n \leq k-1$, $J^n \neq E$ because of the term I_n. If $n > k-1$,

$$J^n = (\lambda E + I_1)^n = \lambda^n E + \lambda^{n-1} C_n^1 I_1 + \lambda^{n-2} C_n^2 I_2 + \ldots + \lambda^{n-(k-1)} C_n^{k-1} I_{k-1}.$$

Then $J^n = E \iff \lambda^n = \bar{1}$ and $C_n^1, \dots C_n^{k-1}$ are divisible by p. By lemma 7.2 minimal such n is $lcm(d, p^r)$. □

8. The periods of adjoint vectors

Proposition 8.1. *Let $\tilde{V}$ be a vector space over F, $T : \tilde{V} \longrightarrow \tilde{V}$ be an isomorphism with Jordan matrix J, $J \in GL(m, F)$. Consider the Jordan basis for T in $\tilde{V}$. If a basis vector e^s is s-th adjoint vector to a basis eigenvector e^0 with eigenvalue λ, i.e.*

$$(T - \lambda E)\, e^0 = 0 \ , \ \ (T - \lambda E)\, e^i = e^{i-1} \ , \ i = 1, \dots s, \tag{8.1}$$

then e^s is periodic under T with period $N = lcm(d, p^r)$, where d is the order of λ, and $p^{r-1} < s+1 \leq p^r$.

Proof. We have $T^n e^0 = \lambda^n e^0$. So e^0 has period d under T. If $s \geq 1$, then $Te^s = \lambda e^s + e^{s-1}$ and, by induction,

$$T^n e^s = \lambda^n e^s + C_n^1 \lambda^{n-1} e^{s-1} + \cdots + C_n^s \lambda^{n-s} e^0, \tag{8.2}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 7.2. □

Proposition 8.2. *Let $\tilde{V}$, T and J be as in proposition 8.1. Suppose J has l Jordan cells with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ (not necessary distinct), of orders $d_1, \dots, d_l$ respectively, so that we can write $\tilde{V} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_l$ where V_i are the invariant subspaces corresponding to the Jordan cells. If the projection of a vector $Z \in \tilde{V}$ to V_i is not zero, then the period of Z under T is divisible by d_i.*

Proof. The projection of vector Z onto V_i is a linear combination of basis vectors belonging to V_i : $Z_i = \alpha_0 e^0 + \alpha_1 e^1 + \ldots + \alpha_s e^s$, where $e^0, \dots e^s$ are the adjoint vectors to the eigenvector e^0 with eigenvalue λ_i. Suppose that the highest non-zero coefficient is α_τ. Then $T^n Z$ will have the coefficient $\lambda_i^n \alpha_\tau$ at e^τ by formula (8.1) and the result follows. □

Let us consider the extensions of our linear spaces $\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p)$, $\mathcal{V}$, V, and linear operators σ_x, σ, T to the field F. Denote the resulting dynamical systems by $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,F),\sigma_x), (\mathcal{F},\sigma), (\tilde{V},T)$. Here $\mathcal{F}$ is the space of bi-infinite sequences $(\ldots, Z_{-1}, Z_0, Z_1 \ldots)$, $z_j \in F^{\mathbf{n}}$ satisfying equation (4.5), $\tilde{V} = \pi_0(\mathcal{F})$ is $m-$dimensional linear vector space over F. Applying propositions 8.1 and 8.2 to the extended operator $T : \tilde{V} \longrightarrow \tilde{V}$ we get the following result:

Theorem 8.3. *Let* λ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, l$, *be the (non-zero) roots of the Alexander polynomial of the knot,* d_j *be the order of* λ_j, k_j *be the maximal size of a Jordan cell of the matrix* J *with eigenvalue* λ_j *(see section 6). Let* $p^{r_j-1} < k_j \leq p^{r_j}$. *For each* λ_j *consider the set* $Q_j = \{1, lcm(d_j, p^i) : i = 0, \ldots r_j\}$. *Then the set of periods of orbits of extended dynamical system* $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,F),\sigma_x)$ *is a subset of the set*

$$\{lcm(q_1, \ldots, q_l) : q_j \in Q_j, j = 1, \ldots, l\}.$$

9. TWO-BRIDGE KNOTS

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a 2-bridge knot, and $\mathcal{A}(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \cdots + c_m t^m$ be its Alexander polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Theorem 4.2 gives the the following corollary:

Theorem 9.1. *i)* $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p),\ \sigma_x)$ *is isomorphic to the linear space of bi-infinite sequences*

$$\ldots y_{-1}, y_0, y_1 \ldots \quad , \ y_j \in \mathbb{Z}/p,$$

satisfying

$$c_0 y_j + c_1 y_{j+1} + \cdots + c_m y_{j+m} = 0, \tag{9.1}$$

with the left shift (a linear operator) σ.
ii) $(\mathrm{Hom}(K,\mathbb{Z}/p),\ \sigma_x))$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^m$ *with the linear operator* T *with matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{c_0}{c_m} & -\frac{c_1}{c_m} & & \cdots & & -\frac{c_{m-1}}{c_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

iii) The Jordan matrix for $\sigma_x(\sigma, T)$ *has exactly one cell for each root of Alexander polynomial* $\mathcal{A}(t)$.

Proof. i) follows from theorem 4.1, if we choose a presentation with two generators and one relation for G.
ii) is obvious if we take the linear operator $T : (\mathbb{Z}/p)^m \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^m$

$$T \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

iii) We have

$$\mathrm{Det}(T - tE) = \frac{c_0}{c_m} + \frac{c_1}{c_m} t + \ldots \frac{c_{m-1}}{c_m} t^{m-1} + t^m = \frac{1}{c_m} \mathcal{A}(t),$$

and the greatest common divisor of all minors of order $m-1$ of matrix T is 1. It follows that in the Jordan form for T for each root of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ there is exactly one cell of the size equal to the multiplicity of the root. $\square$

Suppose all roots of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ belong to the same orbit of Galois group action. Denote them by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$. Then they all have the same multiplicity k and order d. Let $p^{r-1} < k \le p^r$.

Theorem 9.2. *There are orbits of* $(\mathrm{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$ *of periods* $lcm(d, p^i)$ *for all* i *such that* $0 \le i \le r$.

The proof will follow from propositions 9.3 and 9.4.

Proposition 9.3. *The space* $\mathcal{F}$ *of all sequences* $(\dots z_{-1}, z_0, z_1 \dots)$, $z \in F$, *satisfying*

$$c_0 z_j + c_1 z_{j+1} + \dots + c_m z_{j+m} = 0$$

with the left shift σ *has the Jordan basis* $e^0_{\lambda_1} \dots e^{k-1}_{\lambda_1}, \dots,\ e^0_{\lambda_l} \dots e^{k-1}_{\lambda_l}$, *where* e^i_λ *is a bi-infinite sequence* $e^i_\lambda = \{e^i_{\lambda j}\}_{\, j\in\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$e^0_{\lambda j} = \lambda^j,\ e^1_{\lambda j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\lambda^j \ \ , \dots \ ; ,\ \ e^{k-1}_{\lambda j} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial\lambda^{k-1}}\lambda^j \ \ \textit{for } \lambda = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l \ .$$

Proof. First we prove that these sequences form a basis. The dimension of $\mathcal{F}$ is equal to the degree of the Alexander polynomial, so we have right number of vectors. To prove their linear independence, it is enough to prove that the matrix Q whose columns are formed by segments of length m of the sequences above is non-degenerate. More precisely, Q is obtained from l blocks, l being the number of roots of $\mathcal{A}(t)$, i numerates columns, j numerates rows

$$Q \ = \ \left[e^i_{\lambda_1 j}\right] \cdots \left[e^i_{\lambda_l j}\right] \quad \text{where} \begin{cases} i = 0, \dots k-1 \\ j = 0, \dots lk-1 \end{cases} \tag{9.2}$$

Suppose Q is degenerate. Then there is a linear combination of rows with coefficients, say, $b_0, \dots b_{lk-1}$, that is equal to zero. For each $\lambda = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ we have

$$b_0 + b_1\lambda + \dots + b_{lk-1}\lambda^{lk-1} = 0, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{lk-1} b_j \frac{1}{i!}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}\lambda^j \ = 0, \ \text{ for } i = 1 \dots k-1,$$

But then polynomial $p(t) = b_0 + b_1 t + \dots b_{lk-1}t^{lk-1}$ and the polynomials $\frac{1}{i!}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial t^i}p(t)$, $i = 1, \dots k-1$ all have roots $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$, i.e. $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_l$ are the roots of $p(t)$, each of multiplicity k, while the degree of $p(t)$ is $lk-1$. Contradiction.

Now we'll prove that the sequence $e^0_\lambda, \dots, e^{k-1}_\lambda$ is a sequence of adjoint vectors for each $\lambda = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$. Indeed, $e^0_\lambda = \{\lambda^j\}_{\, j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an eigenvector for σ with eigenvalue λ, since

$$(\sigma - \lambda E)\, e^0_\lambda = \{\lambda^{j+1}\}_{\, j\in\mathbb{Z}} - \lambda\{\lambda^j\}_{\, j\in\mathbb{Z}} \ = \{0\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \quad (\, E \text{ is an identity operator}).$$

And e^i_λ is its i-th adjoint vector:

$$(\sigma - \lambda E)e^i_\lambda = e^{i-1}_\lambda. \tag{9.3}$$

Indeed, note that for polynomials $P(\lambda),\ Q(\lambda,)$

$$\frac{\partial^n}{\partial\lambda^n}(P(\lambda)Q(\lambda)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C^i_n \frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}P(\lambda)\,\frac{\partial^{n-i}}{\partial\lambda^{n-i}}Q(\lambda).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} e^i_{\lambda\, j+1} - \lambda\, e^i_{\lambda j} \;&=\; \frac{1}{i!}\,\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}(\lambda^j\lambda) - \lambda\,\frac{1}{i!}\,\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}(\lambda^j) \;= \\ =\; \frac{1}{i!}\,(\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}\lambda^j)\,\lambda &+ \frac{1}{i!}\,C^1_i\,(\frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial\lambda^{i-1}}\lambda^j)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\lambda - \lambda\,\frac{1}{i!}\,\frac{\partial^i}{\partial\lambda^i}\lambda^j \;= \\ &=\; \frac{1}{(i-1)!}\,\frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial\lambda^{i-1}}\lambda^j \;=\; e^{i-1}_{\lambda j} \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us call the sequences $(\ldots, y_{-1},\, y_0,\, y_1 \ldots) \;\in \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{F}$ with $y_j \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ *integer sequences* .

Proposition 9.4. *For any s such that $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, the sequence $e^s_{\lambda_1} + \ldots + e^s_{\lambda_l}$ is integer and has period equal to $lcm(d, p^r)$, where $p^{r-1} < s+1 \leq p^r$.*

Proof. The sequence $e^s_{\lambda_1} + \ldots + e^s_{\lambda_l}$ is integer since it is invariant under the action of Galois group.

We know from proposition 8.1 that $e^s_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, e^s_{\lambda_l}$ all have period $lcm(d, p^r)$. Their sum has the same period since $e^s_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, e^s_{\lambda_l}$ belong to the different invariant subspaces in the direct sum $\mathcal{F} = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_l$. □

In case of l orbits of the Galois group action the Alexander polynomial (characteristic polynomial of operators $\sigma_x,\ \sigma,\ T$) is a product of l mutually prime polynomials: $\mathcal{A}(t) = f_1(t) \cdots f_l(t)$, and the space, say, $\tilde{V}$ is the direct sum of subspaces invariant under $T\ :\ \tilde{V} = U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_l$. Taking sums of orbits from different subspaces we obtain the final result for two-bridge knots:

Theorem 9.5. *Let $\lambda_j, j = 1 \ldots l$, be the (non-zero) roots of Alexander polynomial of a two-bridge knot, of multiplicity k_j and order d_j respectively. Let r_j be the integer numbers such that $p^{r_j - 1} < k_j \leq p^{r_j}$. Denote the set $\{1, lcm(d_j, p^i) : 0 \leq i \leq r_j\}$ by Q_j for each root λ_j (for conjugate roots these sets coincide). Then the set of periods of orbits of $(\mathrm{Hom}(K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \sigma_x)$ is the set*

$$\{lcm(q_1, \ldots, q_l) :\ q_j \in Q_j,\ j = 1, \ldots l\}.$$

10. EXAMPLES

Example 10.1. Trefoil is a two-bridge knot with Alexander polynomial $1 - t + t^2 \equiv (1+t)^2$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. It has one root, -1, of order 2 and multiplicity 2. So $d = k = 2,\ r = 1$ and the least common multiple of periods is $lcm(2, 3) = 6$. All non trivial orbits have period 2 or 6. The recurrent equation is $y_j - y_{j+1} + y_{j+2} \equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod} 3)$. The orbits of σ of period 2 are given by sequence $(\ldots 1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots)$ and the orbits of period 6 are given by sequence $(\ldots 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1,\ \ldots\ )$.

Example 10.2. The figure 8 knot is a two-bridge knot with Alexander polynomial $-1 + 3t - t^2 \equiv -1 - t^2$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. It has two simple roots of order 4. So all non-trivial orbits have period 4. The recurrent equation is $y_j + y_{j+2} = 0$ and non-trivial orbits are given by sequences $(\ldots, 1, 1, -1, -1, \ldots)$ and $(\ldots, 1, 0, -1, 0, \ldots)$.

Example 10.3. For two-bridge knot $\mathcal{K} = 9_1$ the Alexander polynomial is $\mathcal{A}(t) = (t^2 - t + 1)(t^6 - t^3 + 1) \equiv (t+1)^8$ in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. There is one root, -1, of order 2 and multiplicity 8. So $l = 1, d = 2, k = 8, r = 2$, and all non-trivial orbits have periods 2, 6 or 18. The recurrent equation is

$$y_j - y_{j+1} + y_{j+2} - y_{j+3} + y_{j+4} - y_{j+5} + y_{j+6} - y_{j+7} + y_{j+8} = 0.$$

Examples are given by sequences:
$\ldots, 1, -1, \ldots$ (period 2)
$\ldots, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, \ldots$ (period 6)
$\ldots, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1 \ldots$ (period 18).

Example 10.4. The knot 6_2 has the Alexander polynomial $t^4 - 3t^3 + 3t^2 - 3t + 1 \equiv t^4 + 1$ over $\mathbb{Z}/3$, which has four simple roots of order 8. So all its non-trivial orbits have period 8.

References

[CF] R.H. Crowell, R.H. Fox, Introduction to Knot Theory, Ginn and Co., 1963
[G] F.R. Gantmacher, The Theory of Matrices, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1959
[M] K. Murasugi, Knot Theory and Its Applications, Birkhäuser, 1996
[S] D.S. Silver, "Augmented group systems and $n-$ knots," Math.Ann. **296** (1993), 585-593. MR ***94i***:57039
[SW1] D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, "Augmented group systems and shifts of finite type," Israel J. Math. **95**, (1996), 231-251. MR ***98b***:20045
[SW2] D.S. Silver and S.G. Williams, "Knot invariants from symbolic dynamical systems," Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. V351 N8 (1999), p3243-3265,S 0002-9947(99)02167-4